

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/826,349	Applicant(s) TOKIMASA ET AL.	
	Examiner Katherine A. Bareford	Art Unit 1762	

All Participants:

Status of Application: pending

(1) Katherine A. Bareford.

(3) _____.

(2) E. Jensen (Reg. No. 37,855).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 November 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 13

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Jensen agreed to an Examiner's Amendment, as shown in the attached Examiner's Amendment, in order to place the case in condition for allowance

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)